

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the Office Action of September 1, 2006.

Reconsideration and allowance of the application and all presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

Upon entry of this Response, claims 1-26 and 29-32 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 32 have been amended. Claims 27-28 have been withdrawn by the Examiner. The prior art made of record has been considered, but is not believed to affect the patentability of the presently pending claims. Applicants believe that no new matter has been added by the amendments and that a new search is not necessary.

SPECIFICATION

The title of the application has been amended in a manner consistent with the suggestion by the Examiner in the previous action. Therefore, the objection should be withdrawn.

CLAIMS

Claim 1

Claim 1 has been amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, rejection. Therefore the rejection should be withdrawn. If the rejection has been misunderstood, Applicants request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney to resolve such misunderstanding to expedite examination of the application.

Claims 2-26 and 29-32

Applicants respectfully submit that pending dependent claims 2-26 and 29-32 include every feature of independent claim 1. Thus, pending dependent claims 2-26 and 29-32 are also allowable. In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1600 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

In addition, any other statements in the Office Action that are not explicitly addressed herein are not intended to be admitted. In addition, any and all findings of inherency are traversed as not having been shown to be necessarily present. Furthermore, any and all findings of well-known art and official notice, or statements interpreted similarly, should not be considered well known since the Office Action does not include specific factual findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support such conclusions.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher B. Linder, Reg. No. 47,751

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**
Suite 1750
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(770) 933-9500



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the below listed items are being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

**Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**

on 11/10/06

Sara Rogers
Sara A. Rogers

In Re Application of:

Farr, et al.

Serial No.: 10/817,047

Filed: April 2, 2004

For: Chemical Compositions

Confirmation No.: 2217

Group Art Unit: 1713

Examiner: Satya B. Sastri

Docket No. HP: 200313424-1
TKHR: 050834-1370

The following is a list of documents enclosed:

Return Postcard
Amendment
Amendment Transmittal Sheet